



## PROXIMATE COMPOSITION AND FUNCTIONAL PROPERTIES OF SPROUTED SORGHUM (*Sorghum Bicolor*) AND DEFATTED FLUTED PUMPKIN SEED (*Telfairia Occidentalis*) FLOUR BLENDS

| Bello, Florence Abolaji \* | Udo, Ifiok Ikpeme | and | Mbak, Deborah Lawrence |

Department of Food Science and Technology| University of Uyo | Uyo | Nigeria |

|Received | 19 September 2017|

|Accepted | 28 September 2017|

|Published 04 October 2017 |

### ABSTRACT

**Introduction:** Cereals and legumes play an important role in achieving the dietary pattern of many people in Africa as they solve protein-energy malnutrition by forming the major sources of proteins, carbohydrates, vitamins and mineral. **Objectives:** The study was aimed at evaluating the proximate composition and functional properties of the sprouted sorghum flour fortified with defatted fluted pumpkin seed flour. **Materials and Methods:** Sorghum grains (red variety) were purchased from Akpanandem market in Uyo Metropolis, Uyo while a pod containing fluted pumpkin seeds was purchased from Abak township market, Akwa Ibom State, Nigeria. Flour blends were formulated in a cereal-legume combination using sorghum and fluted pumpkin seed flours. Sorghum was sprouted to produce flour and fluted pumpkin seed was boiled and defatted to produce flour also. The flour blends were formulated as follow: SPA (100% Sprouted Sorghum Flour (SSF)), SPB (100% Defatted Fluted Pumpkin Seed Flour (DFPSF)), SPC (95% SSF/5% DFPSF), SPD (90% SSF/10% DFPSF), SPE (85% SSF/15% DFPSF) and SPF (80% SSF/20% DFPSF). SPA served as control. Proximate composition and functional properties were determined using standard methods. **Results:** Fortification of sprouted sorghum flour with defatted fluted pumpkin seed flour significantly ( $p < 0.05$ ) increased the moisture, crude protein, crude fat and ash contents of the flour blends while the crude fibre and carbohydrate contents were noted to be decreased. However, bulk density and swelling capacity decreased as the level of substitution increased while water absorption and oil absorption capacity were significantly increased ( $p < 0.05$ ). Least gelation of the fortified samples were not significantly ( $p > 0.05$ ) different from the control. **Conclusion:** This study has shown that fortified flours formulated from locally available food commodities contain nutrients needed by the children.

**Keywords:** Legume, fortification, malnutrition, bulk density, infants.

### 1. INTRODUCTION

Protein-energy malnutrition is one of the major public health problem in developing countries and contributes to infant mortality, poor physical and intellectual development of infants, as well as very low resistance to disease [1]. In Nigeria, traditional weaning foods consists of cereal grains prepared from either sorghum, millet or maize referred to as *Ogi* or *Akamu*, which is of poor nutritional value due to deficiency in some of the essential amino acids such as lysine and tryptophan. Cereals and legumes play an important role in achieving the dietary pattern of many people in Africa and Asia and therefore form the major sources of proteins, carbohydrates, vitamins and mineral. They are the main sources of nutrients for weaning children in developing countries individually or as composites.

Sorghum (*Sorghum bicolor* L.) is considered as one of the most important food crops in the world. It provides the staple food of a large population and acts as a principal source of energy, proteins, vitamins and minerals for millions of the poorest people in Africa [2]. Unfortunately, sorghum grains contain low quality protein and considerable amounts of anti-nutritional factors [3]. However, efforts are directed to improve the nutritional value of the seeds. Processing methods, such as soaking, sprouting and cooking has been found to improve the nutritional value of plant grains. Sprouting is one of the processing methods that improve the nutritional properties (*in vitro* protein digestibility and minerals availability) by reducing the antinutritional factors [4] and bulk densities.

Due to high cost and unavailability of animal products such as milk, legumes are largely used as alternative sources of high quality protein [5]. Fluted pumpkin seed (*Telfairia occidentalis*) is widely consumed in Nigeria, especially in the South Eastern part. The seeds can be eaten cooked or ground into flour and used as a thickener or ingredient in some local foods [6]. It is known to be of high nutritional value in terms of protein and minerals [7]. Several studies have indicated the possibility of


incorporating plants protein in cereal flour at various levels to produce composites but the fortification of sprouted sorghum flour with defatted pumpkin seed flour has not been explored. This type of blends should offer a good protein base complementary foods that will meet the nutritional requirement of infants. The objectives of the study were to evaluate the proximate composition and functional properties of the sprouted sorghum flour fortified with defatted fluted pumpkin seed flour.

## 2. MATERIALS AND METHODS

**2.1. Procurement of raw materials:** Sorghum (*Sorghum bicolor* (L.)) grains (red variety) were purchased from Akpanandem market in Uyo Metropolis, Uyo, Akwa Ibom State, Nigeria. A pod containing fluted pumpkin (*Telfairia occidentalis* Hook F.) seeds was purchased from Abak township market, Akwa Ibom State, Nigeria. All reagents used in this study were of analytical grade.

### 2.2. Preparation of Raw Materials (Sorghum Flour and Fluted Pumpkin Seed Flour)

The flow chart for the production of sprouted sorghum and fluted pumpkin seed flours are shown in Figure 1 and 2.



**Figure 1:** Production of packaged sprouted sorghum flour



**Figure 2:** Production of packaged fluted pumpkin seed flour

**2.2.1. Preparation of sorghum flour:** Two (2) kg of sorghum grains was sorted to get rid of foreign matter and damages, washed and steeped in tap water (1:3 w/v) for 16 h at 30 °C. The water was changed after every 6 h interval to prevent fermentation. Steeped grains were drained and spread out about 1cm thick on a jute bag and covered with another sterilized jute bag. It was moistened and allowed to sprout for 72 h. The sprouted grains were washed and oven-dried at 60 °C for 12 h and cleaned to remove the vegetative parts (rootlets and shoots). The grains were milled using a manual laboratory mill. The flour was sieved through a 500 µm sieve to obtain sprouted sorghum flour. The flour was packaged in a polyethylene bag prior to analysis.

**2.2.2. Preparation of fluted pumpkin seed flour:** Seeds of fluted pumpkin were extracted from the pod. The seeds with hull were properly cleaned, washed and boiled for 1 h, after which they were drained, dehulled and cooled. The boiled seeds were transferred to an ovenable tray and oven-dried at 50 °C for 24 h in an oven. The processed seeds were then pulverized using a manual laboratory grinder, and sieved to pass through a 500 µm sieve. The seed flour was then defatted continuously for 8 h using petroleum ether and acetone as solvents. The defatted flour was allowed to dry at 50 °C until

completely freed from the solvent(s). The flour was again pulverized and sieved to pass through a 500 µm mesh size to obtain the fine flour. The flour was then packaged airtight in polyethylene bags prior to analysis.

**2.2.3. Formulation of sprouted sorghum-defatted fluted pumpkin seed flour blends:** Sprouted sorghum and defatted fluted pumpkin seed flour blends were formulated in four different ratios and designated as SPA, SPB, SPC, SPD, SPE and SPF. The formulation of sprouted sorghum-defatted fluted pumpkin seed flour blends (composites) is shown in Table 1

**Table 1:** Sprouted sorghum and pumpkin Seed flour blends

| Sample codes | Sprouted sorghum (%) | Pumpkin seed (%) |
|--------------|----------------------|------------------|
| SPA          | 100                  | 0                |
| SPB          | 0                    | 100              |
| SPC          | 95                   | 5                |
| SPD          | 90                   | 10               |
| SPE          | 85                   | 15               |
| SPF          | 80                   | 20               |

SPA=Sprouted sorghum flour, SPB= Pumpkin seed flour, SP(C-F)= Sprouted sorghum-pumpkin seed flour blends

## 2.3. Sample Analysis

**2.3.1. Proximate composition determination:** Triplicate samples of each blend were analysed for moisture content, crude protein (Kjeldahl method), crude fat (solvent extraction), crude fibre and ash using the standard procedures of [8]. The carbohydrate content was determined by difference method, that is, addition of moisture, fat, crude protein, ash and crude fibre, which was subtracted from 100%. This gave the amount of nitrogen free extract otherwise known as carbohydrate.

$$\% \text{ carbohydrate} = 100 - (\% \text{Moisture} + \% \text{Crude protein} + \% \text{Crude Fat} + \% \text{Crude fibre} + \% \text{Ash}) \quad (1)$$

**2.3.2 Functional properties determination:** Bulk densities of the flour samples were determined using the method described by [9], least gelation capacity was determined using the method described by [10], water absorption capacity (WAC) and oil absorption capacity (OAC) was determined using the procedure of [11] and swelling index was determined using the method of [12].

**2.4. Statistical Analysis:** Data generated from the study were evaluated by Analysis of Variance (ANOVA) at 5% level of significance. Means were separated by Duncan New Multiple Range Test using SPSS (20) software.

## 3. RESULTS

The proximate composition of the sprouted sorghum and defatted pumpkin seed flours and their composite blends is presented in Table 2. There were significant ( $p < 0.05$ ) differences in all the nutrients analysed. The moisture, crude protein, crude fat, crude fibre, ash and carbohydrate content of the flour samples ranged from 6.50-9.06%, 13.19-18.94%, 5.15-8.10%, 1.98-2.98%, 1.99-2.74% and 61.97-68.74%, respectively.

Table 3 shows the functional properties of the sprouted sorghum flour fortified with defatted fluted pumpkin seed flour. The bulk density of the flour samples ranged between 0.47 and 0.67 g/ml for 100% defatted pumpkin seed flour and the control sample (100% sprouted sorghum flour), respectively. Water and oil absorption capacity ranged between 5.46 and 7.03 ml/g, and 1.09 and 1.23 ml/g, respectively. Water absorption capacity was higher than the oil absorption capacity in all the flour samples. The highest and lowest water absorption capacity were seen in sample SPB (100% defatted fluted pumpkin seed flour) and SPA (100% sprouted sorghum flour), respectively. Significant ( $p < 0.05$ ) increase in water absorption capacity was observed in the fortified samples with sample SPF (80% sprouted sorghum flour fortified with 20% fluted pumpkin seed flour) had the highest value (6.07 g/ml). The least gelations of the flour samples were not significantly ( $p > 0.05$ ) different from the control (100% sprouted sorghum). No gelation was observed in the defatted fluted pumpkin seed flour. The

fortified flour samples had the same least gelation (10.00%w/v). Swelling power ranged between 1.14 and 1.36 v/v for SPA and SPD, respectively.

**Table 2:** Proximate composition (%) of sprouted sorghum and defatted pumpkin seed flour blends

| Sample codes | Moisture                | Crude protein            | Crude fat               | Crude fibre             | Ash                     | Carbohydrate             |
|--------------|-------------------------|--------------------------|-------------------------|-------------------------|-------------------------|--------------------------|
| SPA          | 8.02 <sup>c</sup> ±0.02 | 13.19 <sup>d</sup> ±0.04 | 5.15 <sup>e</sup> ±0.06 | 2.95 <sup>a</sup> ±0.03 | 1.99 <sup>d</sup> ±0.08 | 68.70 <sup>a</sup> ±0.01 |
| SPB          | 6.50 <sup>f</sup> ±0.50 | 18.94 <sup>a</sup> ±0.06 | 8.10 <sup>a</sup> ±0.04 | 1.98 <sup>b</sup> ±0.58 | 2.51 <sup>c</sup> ±0.49 | 61.97 <sup>e</sup> ±0.06 |
| SPC          | 7.05 <sup>e</sup> ±0.05 | 13.45 <sup>c</sup> ±0.04 | 5.21 <sup>d</sup> ±0.10 | 2.98 <sup>a</sup> ±0.04 | 2.57 <sup>c</sup> ±0.03 | 68.74 <sup>a</sup> ±0.01 |
| SPD          | 7.43 <sup>d</sup> ±0.05 | 13.55 <sup>c</sup> ±0.11 | 5.28 <sup>d</sup> ±0.02 | 2.82 <sup>a</sup> ±0.29 | 2.68 <sup>b</sup> ±0.04 | 68.24 <sup>b</sup> ±0.01 |
| SPE          | 8.70 <sup>b</sup> ±0.01 | 13.92 <sup>b</sup> ±0.02 | 5.37 <sup>c</sup> ±0.03 | 1.68 <sup>c</sup> ±0.32 | 2.71 <sup>a</sup> ±0.03 | 67.62 <sup>c</sup> ±0.02 |
| SPF          | 9.06 <sup>a</sup> ±0.02 | 13.97 <sup>b</sup> ±1.03 | 6.05 <sup>b</sup> ±0.02 | 1.55 <sup>d</sup> ±0.05 | 2.74 <sup>a</sup> ±0.04 | 66.63 <sup>d</sup> ±0.02 |

Values are means±SD, values with the same alphabet (superscript) in the same column do not differ significantly ( $p>0.05$ ),  $a>b>c>d>e>f$ . Key: SPA=100% SSF (Control), SPB=100% DFPSF, SPC=95% SSF:5% DFPSF, SPD=90% SSF: 10% DFPSF, SPE=85% SSF:15% DFPSF, SPF=80% SSF:20% DFPSF. Where SSF=Sprouted Sorghum Flour, DFPSF=Defatted Fluted Pumpkin Seed Flour.

**Table 3:** Functional properties of sprouted sorghum and defatted pumpkin seed flour blends

| Sample codes | Bulk Density (g/cm <sup>3</sup> ) | Least Gelation Capacity (% w/v) | Water Absorption Capacity (ml/g) | Oil Absorption Capacity (ml/g) | Swelling Index (v/v)     |
|--------------|-----------------------------------|---------------------------------|----------------------------------|--------------------------------|--------------------------|
| SPA          | 0.67 <sup>a</sup> ±0.03           | 10.00 <sup>a</sup> ±2.00        | 5.46 <sup>e</sup> ±0.04          | 1.18 <sup>b</sup> ±0.02        | 1.14 <sup>d</sup> ±0.02  |
| SPB          | 0.47 <sup>d</sup> ±0.02           | No Gelation                     | 7.03 <sup>a</sup> ±0.03          | 1.09 <sup>d</sup> ±0.01        | 1.30 <sup>b</sup> ±0.10  |
| SPC          | 0.65 <sup>ab</sup> ±0.02          | 10.00 <sup>a</sup> ±1.00        | 5.63 <sup>d</sup> ±0.03          | 1.14 <sup>c</sup> ±0.02        | 1.41 <sup>a</sup> ±0.02  |
| SPD          | 0.65 <sup>ab</sup> ±0.01          | 10.00 <sup>a</sup> ±3.00        | 5.64 <sup>d</sup> ±0.03          | 1.19 <sup>b</sup> ±0.01        | 1.36 <sup>ab</sup> ±0.02 |
| SPE          | 0.64 <sup>b</sup> ±0.02           | 10.00 <sup>a</sup> ±0.00        | 5.83 <sup>c</sup> ±0.04          | 1.22 <sup>a</sup> ±0.01        | 1.30 <sup>b</sup> ±0.03  |
| SPF          | 0.62 <sup>bc</sup> ±0.01          | 10.00 <sup>a</sup> ±1.00        | 6.07 <sup>b</sup> ±0.03          | 1.23 <sup>a</sup> ±0.03        | 1.21 <sup>c</sup> ±0.01  |

Values are means±SD, values with the same alphabet (superscript) in the same column do not differ significantly ( $p>0.05$ ),  $a>b>c>d>e>f$ . Key: SPA=100% SSF (Control), SPB=100% DFPSF, SPC=95% SSF:5% DFPSF, SPD=90% SSF: 10% DFPSF, SPE=85% SSF:15% DFPSF, SPF=80% SSF:20% DFPSF. Where SSF=Sprouted Sorghum Flour, DFPSF=Defatted Fluted Pumpkin Seed Flour

## 4. DISCUSSION

Moisture, crude protein, crude fat and ash content increased while crude fibre and carbohydrate content decreased as percentage defatted pumpkin seed flour in the composite blends increased. Moisture contents of the flour blends were less than the recommended 10% which is expected to keep for a reasonable time without remarkable negative change in the flour blends [13]. The result of the present study agrees with the findings of [14, 15]. Low moisture content in food samples increased the storage periods of the food products [16]; while high moisture content in foods encourage microbial growth which leads to food spoilage. The highest crude protein, fat and ash contents were observed in SPF (80% sprouted sorghum flour and 20% defatted fluted pumpkin seed flour). The higher content of these nutrients in defatted fluted pumpkin seed flour has obviously increased the crude protein, crude fat and ash content of the flour blends [5-17]. The result is in agreement with previous researchers [13-15-18].

Functional properties are referred to as those physical and chemical properties that influence the behaviour of proteins in food systems during processing, storage, cooking and consumption [19, 20]. There were significant ( $p<0.05$ ) reduction in the bulk density of the flour blends as the fortification level increased. The reduction in the bulk density on malting was a reflection of the activity of alpha-amylase enzyme, which was activated during malting process [13]. Bulk density is usually affected by the particle size and density of the flour. Therefore, it is very important in determining the packaging requirement, materials handling and application of wet processing in the food industry [21]. The lower the bulk density, the lighter the flour, suggesting that sample SPF (80% sprouted sorghum and 20% defatted pumpkin seed flour) is lighter than

other fortified samples. Diet of lower density is required for infants to allow them swallow it with ease without choking or suffocation [13]. Similar observation was reported by [20-22]. Gelation is an important property which affects the texture of different kinds of foods. This result is lower than result of the malted common maize and defatted fluted pumpkin flour (14.00%) reported by [13]. The increase observed in water absorption capacity of the flour blend composites might have been as a result of the production of compounds having good water holding capacity such as soluble sugars [23]. Besides, high water binding capacity will improve the reconstitution and texture obtainable from the sprouted sorghum and defatted pumpkin seed flour as a result of increased in protein content [24, 25]. Water absorption capacity is the ability of flour particles to entrap large amounts of water [26] and increase in water absorption of the flour will however increase product yield [27]. The observation in this present study is in agreement with [20] but lower than the report of [15] who enriched trifoliolate yam flour with fluted pumpkin seed flour. Swelling power is an indication of the absorption index of the granules during heating [15]. Significant decrease in swelling index was observed as the level of substitution increased. These decreases could be as a result of increases in the particle size [28]. The retention of water in the swollen starch granules often connected food eating quality [29].

## 5. CONCLUSION

This study has shown that that fortified flours formulated from locally available food commodities contain nutrients needed by the children. The results from this study showed increased crude protein, crude fat and ash content and decreased in crude fibre and carbohydrate content as percentage defatted pumpkin seed flour in the composite blends increased. Some of the functional properties such as the bulk density, least gelation, water absorption, oil absorption and swelling index of the sprouted sorghum-defatted fluted pumpkin seed flour has industrial potential in the formulation of nutritious foods. These foods are suitable not only for weaning, but also for more cost effective diets for malnourished children.

## 6. REFERENCES

1. Bintu BP, Hajjagana L, Falmata AS, Modu S, Shettima Y. Studies on the evaluation of the nutritional quality, chemical composition and rheological characteristics of a cereal fortified with legume as a weaning food blend. *International Journal of Biotechnology and Food Science*. 2015;3(1):1-9. Available on: <http://www.sciencewebpublishing.net/ijbfs/archive/2015/February/pdf/Bintu%20et%20al.pdf>
2. Kinyua PM, Mwasaru MA, Onyango CA, Muinga R, Gathambiri C. Nutritional composition of Kenyan sorghum-pigeon pea instant complementary food. *Jomo Kenyatta University of Agriculture and Technology Journal*. 2016;17(1):1-12. Available on: [https://www.researchgate.net/profile/Rahab\\_Muinga/publication/301196707\\_NUTRITIONAL\\_COMPOSITION\\_OF\\_KENYAN\\_SORGHUM-PIGEON\\_PEA\\_INSTANT\\_COMPLEMENTARY\\_FOOD/links/570b5df608aed09e917193e4/NUTRITIONAL-COMPOSITION-OF-KENYAN-SORGHUM-PIGEON-PEA-INSTANT-COMPLEMENTARY-FOOD.pdf](https://www.researchgate.net/profile/Rahab_Muinga/publication/301196707_NUTRITIONAL_COMPOSITION_OF_KENYAN_SORGHUM-PIGEON_PEA_INSTANT_COMPLEMENTARY_FOOD/links/570b5df608aed09e917193e4/NUTRITIONAL-COMPOSITION-OF-KENYAN-SORGHUM-PIGEON-PEA-INSTANT-COMPLEMENTARY-FOOD.pdf)
3. Falmata FS, Modu S, Sanda SK, Babagana M, Goni C. Rheological and microbiological assessment of complementary meal produced from sprouted and fermented sorghum (*S. bicolor*) blended with cowpea (*Vigna unguiculata*) and groundnut (*Arachis hypogea*). *Merit Research Journal of Food Science and Technology*. 2014;2(3):031-037. Available on: <http://www.meritresearchjournals.org/fst/Content/2014/December/Falmata%20et%20al.pdf>
4. Nour AAM, Ibrahim MAEM, Abdelrahman EE, et al. Effect of Processing methods on nutritional value of sorghum (*Sorghum bicolor* L. Moench) cultivar. *American Journal of Food Science and Health*. 2015;1(4):104-108. Available on: <http://files.aiscience.org/journal/article/pdf/70160025.pdf>
5. Usman MA, Bolade MK, James S. Functional properties of weaning food blends from selected sorghum (*Sorghum bicolor* L.) Moench varieties and soybean (*Glycine max*). *African Journal of Food Science*. 2016;10(8):112-121. Available on: <http://www.academicjournals.org/journal/AJFS/article-full-text-pdf/6A319C359363>
6. Achinewhu SC. Protein quality evaluation of weaning food mixtures from indigenous fermented foods. *Nigerian Journal of Nutritional Sciences*. 1987;8:23-31.
7. Udoh IE. Nutritional and chemical properties of fluted pumpkin (*Telfairia occidentalis*) seed flours, protein concentrates and isolates. *Nigerian Journal of Agriculture, Food and Environment*. 2017;13(1):206-213. Available on: [http://njafe.org/NJAFE2017VOL13no1/34\\_Udoh\\_%20I\\_E.pdf](http://njafe.org/NJAFE2017VOL13no1/34_Udoh_%20I_E.pdf)
8. AOAC. Official method of analysis (18th edition.). Association of Official Analytical Chemists, Washington DC., USA. 2005.
9. Wang JC, Kinsella JE. Functional properties of novel proteins Alfalfa leaf protein. *J. Food Sci.* 1976;41:286-289. Available on: <http://onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/10.1111/j.1365-2621.1976.tb00655.x/full>
10. Sathe SK, Deshpade SS, Salunkhe DK. Functional properties of winged bean (*Psophoxarpus tetragonolobus*, L) proteins. *J Food Sci.* 1982;7:503-506. Available on: [onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/10.1111/j.1365-2621.1982.tb10112.x/pdf](http://onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/10.1111/j.1365-2621.1982.tb10112.x/pdf)
11. Sasulki FW, Garratt MD, Slinkard AE. Functional properties of fern legume flour. *Inst. Food Sci. Technol. J.* 1996;9:66-69.
12. Abbey BW, Ibeh GO. Functional properties of raw and heat processed cowpea (*Vigna unguiculata*, Walp) flour. *J. Food Sci.* 1988;53:1775-1777. Available on: <http://onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/10.1111/j.1365-2621.1988.tb07840.x/pdf>
13. Ikujenlola AV, Oguntuase SO, Omosuli SV. Physico-chemical properties of complementary food from malted quality protein maize (*Zea mays* L.) and defatted fluted pumpkin Flour (*Telfairia occidentalis* Hook, F). *Food and Public Health*. 2013;3(6):323-328. Available on: <http://article.sapub.org/10.5923.j.fph.20130306.09.html>
14. Adeyeye SAO, Adebayo-Oyetero AO, Omoniyi SA. Quality and sensory properties of maize flour cookies enriched with soy protein isolate. *Cogent Food and Agriculture*. 2017;3:1278827. Available on: <http://dx.doi.org/10.1080/23311932.2017.1278827>
15. Adelekan AO, Arisa NU, Alamu A, Ogunseye FR. Effect of enrichment of trifoliolate yam flour with pumpkin seed flour on the pasting characteristics and the acceptability of its product. *Journal of Food Processing and Technology*. 2013;4:283. Available on: <https://www.omicsonline.org/pdfdownload.php?download=effect-of-enrichment-of-trifoliolate-yam-flour-with-pumpkin-seeds-flour-on-the-pasting-characteristics-and-the-acceptability-of-its-product-2157-7110.1000283.pdf&aid=22072>
16. Alozie YE, Iyam MA, Lawal O, Udofo U, Ani IF. Utilization of Bambara ground flour blends in bread production. *Journal of Food Technology*. 2009;7(4):111-114. Available on: <http://www.medwellonline.net/fulltext/jft/2009/111-114.pdf>
17. Fagbemi TN. Effects of processing on the nutritional composition of fluted pumpkin *Telfaria occidentalis*) seed flours. *Niger. Fd. Journal*. 2007;25(1):1-22. Available on: <https://www.ajol.info/index.php/nifoj/article/view/33650>
18. Akoja SS, Mohammed AO. Evaluation of the Proximate, Pasting and Sensory Characteristics of Cassava Flour (Fufu) Fortified with Pigeon Pea Flour. *Pakistan Journal of Nutrition*. 2011;10(2):124-128. Available on: <http://agris.fao.org/agris-search/search.do?recordID=DJ2012062832>



19. Mahajan A, Dua S. Salts and pH induced changes in functional properties of amaranth (*Amaranthus tricolor* L.) seed meal. *Cereal Chemistry*. 2002;79, 834-837. Available on: [agris.fao.org/agris-search/search.do?recordID=US201500054508](http://agris.fao.org/agris-search/search.do?recordID=US201500054508)
20. Alake OO, Babajide JM, Adebowale AA, Adebisi MA. Evaluation of physico-chemical properties and sensory attributes of cassava enriched custard powder. *Cogent Food and Agriculture*. 2016;2:1246116. Available on: <https://www.cogentia.com/article/10.1080/23311932.2016.1246116>
21. Karuna D, Kulkarni NG, Dilip K. *Food and Nutrition bulletin*. United Nation University. 1996.
22. Kiin-Kabari DB, Eke-Ejiofor J, Giami SY. Functional and pasting properties of wheat/plantain flours enriched with bambara groundnut protein concentrate. *International Journal of Food Science and Nutrition Engineering*. 2015;5(2):75-81. Available on: [article.sapub.org/10.5923.j.food.20150502.01.html](http://article.sapub.org/10.5923.j.food.20150502.01.html)
23. Adedeji OE, Oyinloye OD, Ocheme OB. Effects of germination time on the functional properties of maize flour and the degree of gelatinization of its Cookies. *African Journal of Food Science*. 2014;8(1):42-47. Available on: [www.academicjournals.org/journal/AJFS/article-abstract/739CD6042608](http://www.academicjournals.org/journal/AJFS/article-abstract/739CD6042608)
24. Adebowale AA, Sanni SA, Oladapo FO. Chemical, functional and sensory properties of instant yam breadfruit flour. *Nigeria Journal of Food Science*. 2008;26:2-12. Available on: <https://www.ajol.info/index.php/nifoj/article/view/47417>
25. Abioye VF, Ade-Omowaye BI, Babarinde OGO, Adesigbin MK. Chemical, physico-chemical and sensory properties of soy-plantain flour. *African Journal of Food Science*. 2011;5:176-180. Available on: [www.academicjournals.org/article/article1381310644\\_Abioye%20%20et%20al.pdf](http://www.academicjournals.org/article/article1381310644_Abioye%20%20et%20al.pdf)
26. Chen MJ, Lin CW. Factors affecting the water holding capacity of fibrinogen/ plasma protein gels optimized by response surface methodology. *Journal of Food Science*. 2002; 67:2579-2582. Available on: [onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/10.1111/j.1365-2621.2002.tb08780.x/pdf](http://onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/10.1111/j.1365-2621.2002.tb08780.x/pdf)
27. Okoli EC. Effect of gamma irradiation on biochemical molting and keeping quality of sorghum grain. Ph.D. Thesis, Obafemi Awolowo University Ile-Ife, Nigeria. 1998.
28. Chandra S, Singh S, Kumari D. Evaluation of functional properties of composite flours and sensorial attributes of composite flour biscuits. *Journal of Food Science and Technology*, 2015;52(6):3681-3688. Available on: <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pmc/articles/PMC4444897/>
29. Sreerama YN, Sashikala VB, Pratapa VM, Singh V. Nutrients and antinutrients in cowpea and horse gram flours in comparison to chickpea flour: evaluation of their flour functionality. *Food Chemistry*. 2012;131:462-468. Available on: [www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S0308814611012647](http://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S0308814611012647)

**Citer cet article: Bello, Florence Abolaji, Udo, Ifiok Ikpeme and Mbak, Deborah Lawrence.** PROXIMATE COMPOSITION AND FUNCTIONAL PROPERTIES OF SPROUTED SORGHUM (*Sorghum Bicolor*) AND DEFATTED FLUTED PUMPKIN SEED (*Telfairia Occidentalis*) FLOUR BLENDS. *American Journal of Innovative Research and Applied Sciences*. 2017; 5(4): 254-259.

This is an Open Access article distributed in accordance with the Creative Commons Attribution Non Commercial (CC BY-NC 4.0) license, which permits others to distribute, remix, adapt, build upon this work non-commercially, and license their derivative works on different terms, provided the original work is properly cited and the use is non-commercial. See: <http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc/4.0/>