



## HEPATITIS E VIRUS PREVALENCE AND ASSOCIATED RISK FACTORS AMONG PREGNANT WOMEN IN MEDICAL CENTER OF SAMANDIN (OUAGADOUGOU, BURKINA FASO)

| Somda Hortense Poda Rouamba<sup>1,2\*</sup> | Jean Bienvenue Ouoba<sup>1</sup> | Kuan Abdoulaye Traoré<sup>1</sup> | Bruno L. Ouoba<sup>3</sup> | Asséta Kagambéga<sup>1</sup> | Pierre Roques<sup>4,5,6</sup> | and | Nicolas Barro<sup>1</sup> |

<sup>1</sup>Université Joseph KI-ZERBO | Laboratoire de Biologie Moléculaire et d'Epidémiologie et de Surveillance des Bactéries et Virus transmis par les Aliments (LaBESTA) | Département de Biochimie-Microbiologie | Ecole Doctorale Sciences et Technologie (EDST) | 03 B.P. 7021 Ouagadougou 03 | Burkina Faso |

<sup>2</sup>Centre médical urbain Samandin | Burkina-Faso |

<sup>3</sup>Laboratoire National d'Elevage (LNE) | Burkina Faso |

<sup>4</sup>IDMIT Département / IBFJ | CEA, Fontenay-aux-Roses | France |

<sup>5</sup>Immunology of Viral Infections and Autoimmune Diseases (IMVA) | U1184, INSERM | Fontenay-aux-Roses | France |

<sup>6</sup>UMR1184, IMVA | Univ Paris-Sud, Saclay | France |

| Received 04 May 2019 |

| Accepted 14 June 2019 |

| Published 20 June 2019 |

| ID Article | Rouamba-ManuscriptRef.1-ajira040619 |

### ABSTRACT

**Background:** Hepatitis E virus (HEV) infection in pregnant women during the third trimester is commonly associated to fatal outcome risk. In Burkina Faso, previous epidemiological studies showed pregnant women was marginally exposed/protected to/from this virus (10.6 to 11.6% seroprevalence) in 2010-2014. **Objectives:** This study aim to update our knowledge of HEV risk factor in this population in 2017. **Methods:** From July to December 2017, 90 pregnant women were recruited at the Samandin Medical Center. Demographic data were retrieved and Anti-HEV IgM and IgG detection tests were performed using commercial ELISA kits (Wantai, Beijing, China). **Results:** HEV IgG antibodies were detected in 55.6% (50/90; 95% CI: [45.3-65.8]) of the pregnant women. The seroprevalence seems to increase with age from 33% (<20 years of age) to 71.4% (30-36 years)  $p = 0.0501$ . There was no significant association between anti-HEV antibody seroprevalence rate with other risk factors. **Conclusion:** In 2017, we found a significant higher HEV IgG prevalence than the one observed among pregnant women in 2012. Identification of the source of this increase thus deserves new study. More importantly, a recall of hygiene rules before and during pregnancy is urgently needed to avoid numerous at risk new HEV infection in this population as we have no idea of the current origin (food, water, HEV genotype involved) of this increase of HEV exposition.

**Keywords:** Hepatitis E virus, pregnant women, co-infection, risk factors, Burkina Faso.

### 1. INTRODUCTION

Hepatitis E (HE) is a liver disease caused by infection with the hepatitis E virus (HEV). According to the recent updated World Health Organization (WHO) data, every year there are over 3 million of symptomatic cases of hepatitis E and 44, 000 hepatitis E-related deaths in the world [1,2]. HE is usually by fecal-oral route acquired through consumption of contaminated food or water [3]. HEV is a non-enveloped, single-stranded positive sense RNA virus. It belongs in genus of *Orthohepevirus*. Only the specie *Orthohepevirus A* contains seven genotypes (HEV-1 to HEV-7) and a putative new genotype 8 that can infect humans and/or a wide variety of mammals [4,5].

Hepatitis E is generally characterized by an acute self-limited or asymptomatic course in the host with a rapid viral clearance [6]. However, these infections may have extra-hepatic manifestations and lead to chronic hepatitis in immunocompromised patients [7]. HEV infection can be severe in patients with pre-existing liver disease or receiving organ transplantation, and in pregnant women in developing countries. Thus, studies from various developing countries have shown a high incidence of HEV infection in pregnancy [9]. Mortality rates up to 25% have been described, which is much higher than general population [8,9]. It is more important in the third trimester and has been reported to be associated with 81% cases of fulminant hepatitis with obstetric complications, such as premature rupture of membranes and intrauterine growth restriction [10-12]. In addition, neonatal death in 56% of newborns and stillbirth were reported [13,14].

HEV Seroprevalence in pregnant women living in Burkina Faso ranged from 10.6 - 11.6% [15,16]. The pregnant women of Ouagadougou are undoubtedly exposed to this virus because of the poverty, promiscuity, unsanitary conditions and unhealthy lifestyle. In addition, the precise reasons for increased susceptibility to HEV infection during pregnancy and

associated severe disease are still not resolved. The aims of this study were to assess the exposition to Hepatitis E virus (IgG) and recent infection level (IgM) among pregnant women attending the Medical Center of Samandin (Ouagadougou, Burkina Faso), and to evaluate the risk factors associated with HEV infection.

## 2. MATERIALS AND METHODS

### 2.1 Study site

This is a cross-sectional study conducted at the Samandin medical center (Ouagadougou, Burkina Faso), during the period of July to December 2017. Blood samples (5 ml) were collected in dry tubes without anticoagulant. The sample was centrifuged at 3000g for 10 min at 25°C. The sera were collected in cryotubes and stored at  $-20 \pm 5^\circ\text{C}$  until serological analysis. Specific IgG and IgM antibody for HEV (anti-HEV) were measured by ELISA method using the Wantai anti-HEV IgM ELISA and Wantai anti-HEV IgG ELISA (Wantai, Beijing, China). The results were scored as positive or negative according to the standard procedures recommended by the manufacturer. The biological risk factors associated with HEV infection were determined by testing of HIV, HCV and HBV using the following reagents respectively: HIV RDT (Alere Medical Co., Ltd, Japan), HCV-TDR (STANDARD DIAGNOSTIC, INC, Korea) and HBsAg ELISA kits (AccuDia; USA).

### 2.2 Patients

Ninety pregnant women from 16 to 49 years, attending prenatal clinics, as part of prenatal testing were recruited in this study. After recruitment, the study protocol was explained to each woman and written informed consent was obtained. Women who agreed to participate received a pre-tested questionnaire to obtain information on demographic characteristics and risk factors, including age, gender, place of residence, education level, job, food regime (outside), water source, medical history and diet.

### 2.3 Statistics analysis:

Logistic regression analyses were carried out to determine which variables (age, level of education, locality, profession, dietary habits, miscarriage and source of water supply) were significantly associated with detection of HEV antibodies. Logistic regression was performed using R software version 2.13.0.  $P < 0.05$  was considered significant. The lower and upper limits of the 95% confidence interval (CI) were also calculated.

### 2.4 Ethical considerations

Ethical approval was obtained from national Ethic committee for the Health Research of the Ministry of Health (Deliberation N° 2014-12-138). Study participants received information about the study, after which they signed the written informed consent

## 3. RESULTS

### Socio-demographic characteristics of study participants

Regarding the level of education, 33.3% of participants have completed primary, secondary or higher education; 66.7% were illiterate. Of ninety (90) pregnant women, 47.8% were official workers and 52.2% were household worker (Table 1). Concerning the source of drinking water, 35.6% of the pregnant women used taps water, supplied by the national office of water and sanitation (ONEA) and 64.4% used fountains water. 83.3% of study population resided in the urban area and only 16.7% lived in the peripheries of Ouagadougou (Table 1).

According to their activities, the pregnant women had a diversified diet out of home consisting of fruit, vegetables, meat and others (rice and maize cake). Fruits and vegetables are eaten according to the season.

**Table 1:** Socio-demographic characteristics of the women in the study.

CHARACTERISTICS	NUMBER	PERCENTAGE (%)
<b>Age</b>		
<20	9	10
[20-26[	31	34.4
[26-30[	17	18.9
[30-36[	28	31.1
>36	5	5.6
<b>Level of education</b>		
Unschoolled	60	66.7
Schooled	30	33.3

<b>Profession</b>		
<b>Household worker</b>	47	52.2
<b>Official workers</b>	43	47.8
<b>Place of residence (city)</b>		
<b>Center</b>	75	83.3
<b>Periphery</b>	15	16.7
<b>Food regime (outside)</b>		
<b>Fruits and vegetables</b>	19	44.4
<b>Pork meats</b>	31	17.8
<b>Others</b>	40	3.3
<b>Source of water supply</b>		
<b>Tap</b>	32	35.6
<b>Fountain</b>	58	64.4
<b>Number of pregnancies</b>		
<b>1 to 2</b>	54	60
<b>3 to 4</b>	26	28.9
<b>5 to 6</b>	10	11.1
<b>History of abortion</b>		
<b>No</b>	82	90
<b>Yes</b>	8	10
<b>History of miscarriage</b>		
<b>Yes</b>	9	8.9
<b>No</b>	81	91.1

### Prevalence of infectious disease markers among

In total, of the 90 pregnant women tested, 1.1% (1/90, CI 95% [0.0-3.3]) were positive for anti-HCV antibodies, 8.9% (8/90 IC95% [3.0-14.8]) were positive for HBsAg, and 2.2% (2/90, CI 95% [0.0-5.3]) were positive for anti-HIV antibodies (Table 2). The proportion of women exposed to HEV was very high, with an overall prevalence of anti-HEV IgG antibodies of 55.6% (50/90), CI 95% [45.3– 65.8]) for this study population. None of the sample was positive for IgM anti-HEV.

**Table 2:** Prevalence of HEV, HBV, HCV and HIV among the pregnant women in the study

	Negative			Positive		
	Number	Percentage	CI95	Number	Percentage	CI95%
<b>IgG-HEV (ELISA)</b>	40	44.4	[34.2 – 54.7]	50	55.6	[45.3– 65.8]
<b>IgM-HEV (ELISA)</b>	0	0	-	0	0	0
<b>HBsAg (ELISA)</b>	82	91.1	[85.2 – 97.0]	8	8.9	[3.0 – 14.8]
<b>HIV (RDT)</b>	88	97.8	[94.7 – 100.0]	2	2.2	[0.0 – 5.3]
<b>HCV (RDT)</b>	89	98.9	[96.7 – 100.0]	1	1.1	[0.0 – 3.3]

### Population stratification and risk factor analysis

The overall prevalence rate of antibodies to HEV was highest (71.4%) among pregnant women [30-36[ year group ( $p = 0.0501$ ), followed by (60%) in >36 years of age ( $p = 0.341$ ), and (58.8%) in [26-30[ years group ( $p = 0.223$ ), then (45.2%) in [20-26[ year group ( $p = 0.529$ ) and (33.3%) in <25 years of age. Neither the geographical location (downtown or periphery) nor the water source (tap or public fountain) appear to be risk factors for HEV in this study. Others factors which did not show statistical evidence include employment status and educational level. Although, none any of these risk factors were statistical evidence of infection association, on the nine pregnant women have been victim of miscarriage, eight women were carried HEV antibodies. Moreover, on eight women being an abortion history, five were positives to the HEV antibodies. The two HIV positive women arbored IgG anti-HEV. Of eight pregnant HBsAg-carriers women, four women were HEV IgG positive.

**Table 3:** HEV and Associated Risk Factors

Associated Risk Factors	Prevalence (%)	Odds-ratio	p-Value
<b>Age</b>			
<20	33.3 (3/9)	ref	ref
[20-26[	45.2 (14/31)	1.7 [0.4; 8.9]	0.5296
[26-30[	58.8 (10/17)	2.86 [0.6; 17.5]	0.2232
[30-36[	71.4 (20/28)	5.0 [1.1; 28.7]	0.0501
>36	60.0 (3/5)	3.0 [0.3; 34.8]	0.3414

<b>Level of education</b>			
Unschool	60 (32/60)	1.31 [0.5 – 3.2]	0.548
school	53.3 (18/30)	Ref	
<b>Profession</b>			
Household worker	53.2 (25/47)	Ref	0.637
Official workers	58.1 (25/43)	1.2 [0.5 – 2.8]	
<b>Place of residence (city)</b>			
Center	54,6 (41/75)	Ref	0.704
Periphery	60.0 (9/15)	1.2 [0.4 – 4.0]	
<b>Food regime (outside)</b>			
Pork meat	54.8 (17/31)	Ref	0.989
Fruits and vegetables	57.9 (11/19)	1.0 [0.4 – 2.6]	
None of them	55.0 (22/40)	1.1 [0.4 – 3.7]	
<b>Water source</b>			
Tap	50.0 (16/32)	Ref	0.431
Public fountain	58.6 (34/58)	1.4 [0.6 – 3.4]	
<b>Number of pregnancies</b>			
1 to 2	51.9 (28/54)	Ref	0.624
3 to 4	57.7 (15/26)	1.7 [0.5 – 3.3]	
5 to 6	70.0 (7/10)	2.2 [0.5 – 10.9]	
<b>History of miscarriage</b>			
No	51.9 (42/81)	Ref	0.033
Yes	88.9 (8/9)	7.4 [1.3 – 100.0]	
<b>History of abortion</b>			
Yes	62.5 (5/8)	1.4 [0.3 – 7.0]	0.679
No	54.9 (45/82)	Ref	
<b>HBsAg ELISA</b>			
Positive	50 (4/8)	Ref	1
Negative	56.1 (46/82)	1,3 [0,3 ; 5,7]	
<b>HCV RDT</b>			
Positive	0 (0/1)	Ref	0.444
Negative	56.2 (50/89)	NA	
<b>HIV RDT</b>			
Positive	100 (2/2)	NA	0.5
Negative	54.5 (48/88)	Ref	

Legend: « **ref** » = reference modality; **NA**: Not Available

## 4. DISCUSSION

This study found very high overall seroprevalence (55.6%; OR: 9.49 [5.23-17.73],  $p < 0.007$ ) of HEV antibody among pregnant women than in the previous study, suggesting a possible high exposure to enterically and zoonotic transmitted Hepatitis E Virus. Indeed, potential existence of new source of human contamination by direct contact with infected animals or consumption of infected meat that was not cooked properly, might explain this high prevalence of HEV antibodies among the pregnant [17]. Previous reports from sub-saharian Africa demonstrated prevalence of 10.6 - 11.6% in Burkina [15,16], 12.2% [18] in Ghana, 14.1% in Gabon [19], These prevalence's are less than that found in Khartoum (61.2%) [20] and Egypt (84.3%) [21] and 33.6% in India [22]. These differences between studies could be related to the hygiene, access to safe water sources, and sanitary sewage disposal systems in these communities [12].

Considering IgM anti-HEV antibodies, the sero-prevalence from this study was 0.0%. Absence of recent infection (IgM positive) observed, also registered by Kafando *et al*, could be explained by the fact that our investigation was performed on asymptomatic patients [16].

Prevalence increased with age and the highest prevalence has been recorded in the age group [30-36], (71.4%;  $p = 0.0501$ ). This is consistent with the age distribution of HEV infection in developing countries, where HEV infection is more prevalent among young adults [16,23].

In this study, anti-HEV reactivity among pregnant women living in rural areas appear to be higher than that those living in the center areas. This could be explained by low standards of living and lack of potable water supply [24]. These includes, the absence of continued sanitation systems, and the poor water management and hygiene. Moreover, of the

90 pregnant women, 58% used fountain water for drinking, washing vegetables and fruit, washing kitchen utensils, etc, which was emphasized as a risk factor for HEV in other studies [16,18]. The results of the present study have shown that there is no significant association between level of education and HEV seropositivity. In contrast, other studies showed that unschooled women are more prone to be infected by HEV than schooled pregnant women, which may indicate that the relationship between sanitation system and HEV prevalence might be balanced by hygiene practice [25].

HEV associated risk factors for abortion among pregnant women, was reported in a study conducted in Khartoum state [20,6]. Our study however did not find a significant association with history of abortion and miscarriage ( $p > 0.05$ ).

In addition, this study is unable to make inferences on HEV/HIV coinfection since only two of the participants was HIV positive. Other studies concluded that HEV may be a significant risk to pregnant women co-infected with HIV, which indicated a specific risk for HEV acquisition, with an increased viral load of HIV-1 [19]. Thus, High prevalence HEV was reported in HIV-infected patients compared to control population in Ghana (35.6% and 45.3%) [25,26], Cameroon (14.2%) [26], Italy (6.7%) [27], Spain (9.2%) [28] and 10.4% [29]. A wider assessment of the prevalence of HEV in HIV-positive people would be worthwhile because this coexistence may cause a high risk of morbidity and mortality for pregnant women.

A total of four pregnant women on eight women carriers HBsAg were HEV IgG positive. Kafando *et al.*, (2016) [16], indicated in their study that the risk of transition to chronicity and viral reactivation is very high for this group of vulnerable women (HEV/HBsAg) [16], because of their immune depression induced by the pregnancy.

## 5. CONCLUSION

Our study indicates that the prevalence of IgG anti-HEV in pregnant women in Ouagadougou was higher than in the previous study. Outcome to HEV infection in pregnant women is not the same in HEV genotype 1 and HEV genotype 3 or 4. Thus identification of the HEV genotype circulating in the human population need to be determined. Because it seems that it may induce subclinical infection, and we believe that the risk of HEV genotype 1 is unlikely.

## 6. REFERENCES

- Ikram A., Hakim M.S., Zhou J.H., Wang W., Peppelenbosch M.P., Pan Q. Genotype-specific acquisition, evolution and adaptation of characteristic mutations in hepatitis E virus. *Virulence*. 2018; 9 (1):121-132. Available: <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/28727933>. doi:10.1080/21505594.2017.1358349
- WHO (2018) Hepatitis E. Available on: <https://www.who.int/news-room/fact-sheets/detail/hepatitis-e>
- Pavio N., Meng X.J., Doceul V. Zoonotic origin of hepatitis E. *Current opinion in virology*. 2015; 10:34-41. Available on: <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/25588602>. doi:10.1016/j.coviro.2014.12.006
- Lee G-H., Tan B-H., Teo E.C-Y., Lim S-G., Dan Y-Y., Wee A., Aw P.P.K., Zhu Y., Hibberd M.L., Tan C-K. Chronic infection with camelid hepatitis E virus in a liver transplant recipient who regularly consumes camel meat and milk. *Gastroenterology*. 2016; 150 (2):355-357. e353. Available: <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/26551551>
- Smith D.B., Simmonds P., Jameel S., Emerson S.U., Harrison T.J., Meng X.J., Okamoto H., Van der Poel W.H., Purdy M.A. Consensus proposals for classification of the family Hepeviridae. *The Journal of general virology*. 2014; 95 (Pt 10):2223-2232. Available on: <https://jgv.microbiologyresearch.org/content/journal/jgv/10.1099/vir.0.068429-0> doi:10.1099/vir.0.068429-0
- Farshadpour F., Taherkhani R., Ravanbod M.R., Eghbali S.S., Taherkhani S., Mahdavi E. Prevalence, risk factors and molecular evaluation of hepatitis E virus infection among pregnant women resident in the northern shores of Persian Gulf, Iran. *PLoS one*. 2018; 13 (1):e0191090. Available: <https://journals.plos.org/plosone/article?id=10.1371/journal.pone.0191090>. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0191090
- Lhomme S., Marion O., Abravanel F., Chapuy-Regaud S., Kamar N., Izopet J. Hepatitis E pathogenesis. *Viruses*. 2016; 8 (8):212. Available: <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/27527210>
- Boccia D., Guthmann J-P., Klovstad H., Hamid N., Tatay M., Ciglenecki I., Nizou J-Y., Nicand E., Guerin P.J. High mortality associated with an outbreak of hepatitis E among displaced persons in Darfur, Sudan. *Clinical infectious diseases*. 2006; 42 (12):1679-1684. Available: <https://academic.oup.com/cid/article/42/12/1679/294278>
- Abraham P. Viral hepatitis in India. *Clinics in laboratory medicine*. 2012; 32 (2):159-174. Available: <https://europepmc.org/abstract/med/22726997>
- Bonney J.H., Kwame-Aryee R.A., Obed S., Tamatey A.A., Barnor J.S., Armah N.B., Oppong S.A., Osei-Kwesi M. Fatal hepatitis E viral infection in pregnant women in Ghana: a case series. *BMC research notes*. 2012; 5:478. Available on: <https://bmresnotes.biomedcentral.com/articles/10.1186/1756-0500-5-478>. doi:10.1186/1756-0500-5-478
- Niguse S., Hailekiros H., Buruh G., Dejene T., Berhe N., Asmelash T. Seroprevalence and risk factors of Hepatitis E virus infection among pregnant women attending antenatal care in health facilities of Tigray, Northern Ethiopia. *Journal of medical virology*. 2018; 90 (8):1364-1369. Available on: <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/29663452>
- Mamani F., Zamani M., Hashemi S.H., Keramat F. Seroprevalence of antibodies to hepatitis E virus among pregnant women. 2015. Available on: <http://ajcmi.umsha.ac.ir/Article/ajcmi-40>
- Sookoian S. Liver disease during pregnancy: acute viral hepatitis. *Annals of hepatology*. 2006; 5 (3):231-236. Available on: <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/17060891>
- Shalimar, Acharya S.K. Hepatitis e and acute liver failure in pregnancy. *Journal of clinical and experimental hepatology*. 2013; 3 (3):213-224. Available on: <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/25755503>. doi:10.1016/j.jceh.2013.08.009
- Traore K.A., Rouamba H., Nébié Y., Sanou M., Traore A.S., Barro N., Roques P. Seroprevalence of fecal-oral transmitted hepatitis A and E virus antibodies in Burkina Faso. *PLoS one*. 2012; 7 (10):e48125. Available on: <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/23110187>
- Kafando F., Ouermi D., Gnoula C., Djigma F.W., Obiri-Yeboah D., Compaore T.R., Théodora Z.M., Belemgnigre M., Ouedraogo P., Simpore J. Hepatitis e in pregnant women at the Saint Camille hospital of Ouagadougou in Burkina Faso: prevalence and infection risk factors. *International Journal of Recent Advances in Multidisciplinary Research*. 2016; 03 (10):1888. Available on: <https://www.ijramr.com/issue/hepatitis-e-pregnant-women-saint-camille-hospital-ouagadougou-burkina-faso-prevalence-and>
- Traore K.A., Ouoba J.B., Rouamba H., Nebie Y.K., Dahourou H., Rossetto F., Traore A.S., Barro N., Roques P. Hepatitis E Virus Prevalence among Blood Donors, Ouagadougou, Burkina Faso. *Emerging infectious diseases*. 2016; 22 (4):755-757. Available on: [https://wwwnc.cdc.gov/eid/article/22/4/15-1728\\_article](https://wwwnc.cdc.gov/eid/article/22/4/15-1728_article). doi:10.3201/eid2204.151728

18. Obiri-Yeboah D., Awuku Y.A., Adu J., Pappoe F., Obboh E., Nsiah P., Amoako-Sakyi D., Simpore J. Sero-prevalence and risk factors for hepatitis E virus infection among pregnant women in the Cape Coast Metropolis, Ghana. *PLoS one.* 2018; 13 (1):e0191685. Available on: <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/29370271>
19. Caron M., Kazanji M. Hepatitis E virus is highly prevalent among pregnant women in Gabon, central Africa, with different patterns between rural and urban areas. *Virology journal.* 2008; 5 (1):158. Available on: <https://virologyj.biomedcentral.com/articles/10.1186/1743-422X-5-158>
20. Khalil Abuzeid N.M. Seroprevalence of HEV infection and risk factors among Sudanese pregnant women in Khartoum state. *Mediterranean Journal of Biosciences.* 2016; 1 (2):83-91. Available on: <http://ojs.medjbio.com/index.php/medjbio/article/view/391>
21. Stoszek S.K., Abdel-Hamid M., Saleh D.A., Kafrawy S.E., Narooz S., Hawash Y., Shebl F.M., El Daly M., Said A., Kassem E. High prevalence of hepatitis E antibodies in pregnant Egyptian women. *Transactions of the Royal Society of Tropical Medicine and Hygiene.* 2006; 100 (2):95-101. Available on: <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/16257426>
22. Begum N., Devi S.G., Husain S.A., Kumar A., Kar P. Seroprevalence of subclinical HEV infection in pregnant women from north India: a hospital based study. *Indian Journal of Medical Research.* 2009; 130 (6):709-714. Available on: <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/20090131>
23. Yazbek S., Kreidieh K., Ramia S. Hepatitis E virus in the countries of the Middle East and North Africa region: an awareness of an infectious threat to blood safety. *Infection.* 2016; 44 (1):11-22. Available on: <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/26112744>
24. Junaid S.A., Agina S.E., Abubakar K.A. Epidemiology and associated risk factors of hepatitis e virus infection in plateau state, Nigeria. *Virology: research and treatment* 5:VRT. 2014; S15422. Available on: <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/25512696>
25. Adjei A.A., Tettey Y., Aviyase J.T., Adu-Gyamfi C., Obed S., Mingle J.A., Ayeh-Kumi P.F., Adiku T.K. Hepatitis E virus infection is highly prevalent among pregnant women in Accra, Ghana. *Virology journal.* 2009; 6 (1):108. Available on: <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/19619291>
26. Feldt T., Sarfo F.S., Zoufaly A., Phillips R.O., Burchard G., van Lunzen J., Jochum J., Chadwick D., Awasom C., Claussen L., Drosten C., Drexler J.F., Eis-Hubinger A.M. Hepatitis E virus infections in HIV-infected patients in Ghana and Cameroon. *Journal of clinical virology.* 2013; 58 (1):18-23. Available on: <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/23743346>. doi:10.1016/j.jcv.2013.05.004
27. Scotto G., Grisorio B., Filippini P., Ferrara S., Massa S., Bulla F., Martini S., Filippini A., Tartaglia A., Lo Muzio L., Fazio V. Hepatitis E virus co-infection in HIV-infected patients in Foggia and Naples in southern Italy. *Infectious diseases.* 2015; 47 (10):707-713. Available on: <https://www.tandfonline.com/doi/abs/10.3109/23744235.2015.1049658>. doi:10.3109/23744235.2015.1049658
28. Riveiro-Barciela M., Buti M., Homs M., Campos-Varela I., Cantarell C., Crespo M., Castells L., Tabernero D., Quer J., Esteban R., Rodriguez-Frias F. Cirrhosis, liver transplantation and HIV infection are risk factors associated with hepatitis E virus infection. *PLoS one.* 2014; 9 (7):e103028. Available on: <https://journals.plos.org/plosone/article?id=10.1371/journal.pone.0103028>. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0103028
29. Mateos-Lindemann M.L., Diez-Aguilar M., Galdamez A.L.G., Galán J.C., Moreno A., Pérez-Gracia M.T. Patients infected with HIV are at high-risk for hepatitis E virus infection in Spain. *Journal of medical virology.* 2014; 86 (1):71-74. Available on: <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/24136591>



**Cite this article: Somda Hortense Poda Rouamba, Jean Bienvenue Ouoba, Kuan Abdoulaye Traoré, Bruno L. Ouoba, Asséta Kagambéga, Pierre Roques, Nicolas Barro.** HEPATITIS E VIRUS PREVALENCE AND ASSOCIATED RISK FACTORS AMONG PREGNANT WOMEN IN MEDICAL CENTER OF SAMANDIN (OUAGADOUGOU, BURKINA FASO). *Am. J. innov. res. appl. sci.* 2019; 8(6): 276-281.

This is an Open Access article distributed in accordance with the Creative Commons Attribution Non Commercial (CC BY-NC 4.0) license, which permits others to distribute, remix, adapt, build upon this work non-commercially, and license their derivative works on different terms, provided the original work is properly cited and the use is non-commercial. See: <http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc/4.0/>